

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/828,804	04/20/2004		Gregory Springler	10541-1971	5061
29074	7590	01/03/2006		EXAMINER	
VISTEON			KRAMER, DEVON C		
C/O BRINKS	S HOFER	GILSON & LIONE			
PO BOX 10395				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, IL 60610				3683	

DATE MAILED: 01/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summany	10/828,804	SPRINGLER ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Devon C. Kramer	3683					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 De	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 December 2005.						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2,3,8 and 10 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 4-7 9 11-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the option of of the	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1) The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2) Claims 1, 4-7, 9 and 11-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brockenbrough et al (4852704) in view of McCollough et al (6604735).

In re claim 1 and 13, Brockenbrough et al provides an energy-absorbing padding for use in a motor vehicle comprising: a first base layer (16) having a first face, a second face, and a plurality of integrally-formed hollow, first elements projecting from the first face of the first base layer, each first element defining a convex impact surface disposed a first distance from the first face of the first base layer; a second base layer (14) having a first face, a second face, and a plurality of integrally-formed, hollow second elements projecting from the first face of the second base layer, each second element defining a convex impact surface disposed a second distance from the first face of the second base layer; wherein the first base layer is laminated with the second base layer with the second face of the first base layer in opposition with a selected one of a group consisting of the first face of the second base layer and the second face of the second base layer, and the second distance is substantially different from the first

Art Unit: 3683

dimension. Please note that Brockenbrough et al lacks the planar base layers as claimed.

McCollough teaches planar base layers (figure 9).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have provided the energy absorber of Brockenbrough with a planar base layer as taught by McCollough merely to provide a smaller impact absorber to provide that absorber with a mounting surface. Please note that one section of Brockenbrough is still and energy absorber, and the examiner is taking that section and placing planar mounting surfaces on the portions extending near element 23 in Brockenbrough.

In re claims 4, 6, 11-12, 14, 16, and 19-20, see figure 2.

In re claims 5 and 15, see 48 and column 4 lines 64-69.

IN re claim 7, 9 and 17-18, Brockenbrough et al teaches an arrangement where the first major axis of one first element is generally collinear with the second major axis of one of one second element.

Response to Arguments

- 3) Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 13 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 4) Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Devon C. Kramer whose telephone number is 571-272-7118. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8-4.

Art Unit: 3683

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James McClellan can be reached on (571)272-6786. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Devon C Kramer **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 3683

DK

PATENT EXAMINATION 12/23/00